

SAMPLING THEORY  
RESEARCH PAPER

## Improved Dual to Variance Ratio Type Estimators for Population Variance

Prayas Sharma and Rajesh Singh\*

Department of Statistics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India

(Received: 12 July 2013 · Accepted in final form: 15 September 2014)

### Abstract

In this paper we have suggested three improved dual to variance ratio type estimators for estimating the unknown population variance  $S_y^2$  using auxiliary information. The optimum estimator in the suggested method has been identified along with its mean square error formula and it has been seen that the suggested estimator performs better than other existing estimators. Theoretical comparisons and empirical comparisons based on four real populations are carried out to judge the merits of proposed estimators over other traditional estimators and estimators considered here.

**Keywords:** Finite population variance · auxiliary variables · mean squared error · dual to variance ratio type estimator.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 62D05.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Estimation of the finite population variance has great significance in various fields such as agriculture, industry, medical and biological sciences where we come across population which are likely to be skewed. Variations are present in our daily life. It is a law of nature that no two things or individuals are exactly alike. For instance, a physician needs a full understanding of variation in the degree of human blood pressure, body temperature and pulse rate for adequate prescription. A manufacturer needs constant knowledge of the level of in people's reaction about a particular product to know whether to increase or decrease his price of level of quality (see Singh and Solanki (2012)), many more situations can be seen in practice where the estimation of population variance assumes importance. Many authors have used the information on auxiliary information for increasing the precision of an estimator in estimating the population mean ( $\bar{Y}$ ) and population variances ( $S_y^2$ ) of study variable  $y$ . Das and Tripathi (1978), Isaki (1983) and Singh et al. (1988) studied the estimation of variance by using information on variance ( $S_x^2$ ) for an auxiliary variable  $x$ . The other important contributions in this area are by Singh and Singh (2001, 2003), Kadilar and Cingi (2006), Grover (2010), Singh et al. (2011), Singh and Solanki (2012), Sharma and Singh (2013), and Singh and Malik (2014). Srivenkataramana (1980) first

---

\*Corresponding author. Email: rsinghstat@gmail.com

time proposed the dual to ratio estimator for estimating the population mean. Singh and Tailor (2005), Tailor and Sharma (2009) and Sharma and Tailor (2010) proposed some ratio cum-product estimators. In addition, Shabbir (2006) suggested a dual to variance ratio type estimator.

Let  $U = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_N)$  be the finite population of size  $N$  out of which a sample of size  $n$  is drawn according to simple random sampling without replacement technique. Let  $y$  and  $x$  be the study and the auxiliary variables respectively and  $y$  is positively correlated with  $x$ . Let

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$$

be the population means of study and auxiliary variables and

$$\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

be the respective sample means. Let

$$S_y^2 = \frac{1}{(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \bar{Y})^2 \quad \text{and} \quad S_x^2 = \frac{1}{(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{X})^2$$

denote the population variances of  $y$  and  $x$  respectively. Similarly, one can obtain the sample variances

$$s_y^2 = \frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \quad \text{and} \quad s_x^2 = \frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

of  $y$  and  $x$  respectively. Let

$$C_y = \frac{S_y}{\bar{Y}} \quad \text{and} \quad C_x = \frac{S_x}{\bar{X}}$$

denote the coefficient of variations of  $y$  and  $x$ , respectively. Here to estimate  $S_y^2$ , it is assumed that  $S_x^2$  is known because information on auxiliary variable  $x$  is known. Let us define

$$e_0 = \frac{(s_y^2 - S_y^2)}{S_y^2} \quad \text{and} \quad e_1 = \frac{(s_x^2 - S_x^2)}{S_x^2}$$

, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E(e_0) = E(e_1) = 0, \quad E(e_0^2) &= f(\lambda_{40} - 1), \\ E(e_1^2) &= f(\lambda_{04} - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad E(e_0 e_1) = f(\lambda_{22} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f = \left( \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{N} \right), \quad \lambda_{pq} = \frac{\mu_{pq}}{\mu_{20}^p \mu_{02}^q} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{pq} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \bar{Y})^p (x_i - \bar{X})^q.$$

## 2. EXISTING ESTIMATORS

The usual unbiased variance estimator is defined as

$$t_0 = s_y^2 \quad (1)$$

The variance of the estimator  $t_0$  is given by

$$Var(t_0) = S_y^4 f(\lambda_{40} - 1) \quad (2)$$

When the population variance of auxiliary variable  $S_x^2$  is known, Isaki (1983) proposed a ratio type estimator for estimating  $S_y^2$  given by

$$t_{Ik} = s_y^2 \left( \frac{S_x^2}{s_x^2} \right) \quad (3)$$

The bias and MSE of the estimator  $t_{Ik}$  up to first order of approximation are, respectively given by

$$Bias(t_{Ik}) = S_y^2 f[(\lambda_{40} - 1) - (\lambda_{22} - 1)] \quad (4)$$

$$MSE(t_{Ik}) = S_y^4 f[(\lambda_{40} - 1) + (\lambda_{04} - 1) - 2(\lambda_{22} - 1)] \quad (5)$$

Isaki (1983) suggested a regression estimator  $t_{reg}$ , given by

$$t_{reg} = s_y^2 + b(S_x^2 - s_x^2) \quad (6)$$

where  $b$  is the sample regression coefficient between  $s_y^2$  and  $s_x^2$ . To the first order of approximation, the estimator  $t_{reg}$  is unbiased and its variance is given by

$$Var(t_{reg}) = S_y^4 f[(\lambda_{40} - 1) + b^2(\lambda_{04} - 1)S_x^4 - 2b(\lambda_{22} - 1)S_y^2 S_x^2] \quad (7)$$

Substituting the optimum value of  $b$ , say  $b^*$  in equation (7), we get the minimum variance of  $t_{reg}$  as

$$Var(t_{reg})_{min} = S_y^4 f(\lambda_{40} - 1)[1 - \rho^2(s_y^2, s_x^2)] \quad (8)$$

where

$$b^* = \frac{S_y^2(\lambda_{22} - 1)}{S_x^2(\lambda_{04} - 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho^2(s_y^2, s_x^2) = \frac{(\lambda_{22} - 1)}{\sqrt{(\lambda_{40} - 1)(\lambda_{04} - 1)}}.$$

Shabbir (2006) suggested a dual to variance ratio estimator given by,

$$t_J = n_1 s_y^2 + n_2 s_y^2 \left( \frac{s_x^{*2}}{S_x^2} \right) \quad (9)$$

where  $s_x^{*2} = \frac{NS_x^2 - ns_x^2}{N - n}$  due to Srivenkataramana (1980) and  $s_x^{*2} = (1 + g)S_x^2 - gs_x^2$ , with  $g = \frac{n}{N - n}$

Bias and MSE of estimator  $t_J$  up to the first order of approximation are respectively given by

$$B(t_J) = -fn_2S_y^2\eta(\lambda_{22} - 1) \quad (10)$$

$$MSE(t_J) = fS_y^4 \left[ (\lambda_{40} - 1) - \frac{(\lambda_{22} - 1)^2}{(\lambda_{04} - 1)} \right] \quad (11)$$

The equation (11) can be expressed as

$$MSE(t_J) = S_y^4 \left[ 1 + f(\lambda_{40} - 1) + f \frac{(\lambda_{22} - 1)^2}{(\lambda_{04} - 1)} - 1 - 2f \frac{(\lambda_{22} - 1)^2}{(\lambda_{04} - 1)} \right] \quad (12)$$

Or

$$MSE(t_J) = S_y^4 \left[ (D - 1) - 2f \frac{(\lambda_{22} - 1)^2}{(\lambda_{04} - 1)} \right] \quad (13)$$

Or

$$MSE(t_J) = S_y^4 Z \quad (14)$$

where

$$D = \left[ 1 + f(\lambda_{40} - 1) + f \frac{(\lambda_{22} - 1)^2}{(\lambda_{04} - 1)} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad Z = \left[ (D - 1) - 2f \frac{(\lambda_{22} - 1)^2}{(\lambda_{04} - 1)} \right].$$

The MSE of estimator  $t_J$  and  $t_{reg}$  are same which is less than the usual ratio estimator  $t_0$  and Isaki (1983) estimator  $t_{Ik}$ .

### 3. PROPOSED ESTIMATORS

A dual to variance regression type estimator  $t_1$  is defined as

$$t_1 = w_1s_y^2 + w_2(S_x^2 - s_x^{*2}) \quad (15)$$

where  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are suitably chosen constants.

Expressing (15) in terms of  $e$ 's, we get

$$t_1 - S_y^2 = (w_1 - 1)S_y^2 + w_1S_y^2e_0 + w_2gS_x^2e_1 \quad (16)$$

Taking expectations both sides of (16) we get the bias of estimator  $t_1$  as

$$Bias(t_1) = S_y^2(w_1 - 1) \quad (17)$$

Squaring both sides of (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (t_1 - S_y^2)^2 = & \left[ S_y^4(w_1 - 1)^2 + w_1^2S_y^4e_0^2 + w_2^2g^2S_x^4e_1^2 + 2(w_1 - 1)w_1S_y^4e_0 \right. \\ & \left. + 2(w_1 - 1)w_2g\sigma_y^2\sigma_x^2e_1 + 2w_1w_2gS_y^2S_x^2e_0e_1 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Taking expectations both sides, we get the mean square error of the estimator  $t_1$  to first order of approximation, as

$$MSE(t_1) = [(1 - 2w_1)S_y^4 + w_1^2A + w_2^2B + w_1w_2C] \quad (19)$$

where  $A = S_y^4 \{1 + f(\lambda_{40-1})\}$ ,  $B = g^2 f(\lambda_{04-1})S_x^4$ ,  $C = 2gf(\lambda_{22} - 1)S_y^2S_x^2$ .

Differentiating (19) with respect to  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  partially, equating them to zero, we get optimum values of  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  respectively, as

$$w_1^* = \frac{4S_y^4B}{4AB - C^2}, \quad w_2^* = \frac{-2S_y^4C}{4AB - C^2}$$

Using the values of  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  we get the optimum values of  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  in terms of  $D$ , as

$$w_1^* = \frac{1}{D} \quad \text{and} \quad w_2^* = \frac{-S_y^2(\lambda_{22} - 1)}{gS_x^2(\lambda_{04-1})D}. \quad (20)$$

Substituting these values of  $w_1^*$  and  $w_2^*$  from (20) in (19), we get the minimum MSE of the estimator  $t_1$  as

$$MSE(t_1)_{min} = \frac{S_y^4}{D} \left[ (D - 1) - \frac{2f(\lambda_{22} - 1)^2}{(\lambda_{04} - 1)D} \right] \quad (21)$$

Equation (21) can be rewrite in terms of  $Z$ , as

$$MSE(t_1)_{min} = \frac{S_y^4}{D} \left[ Z + \frac{2f(\lambda_{22} - 1)^2}{(\lambda_{04} - 1)D} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{D} \right) \right] \quad (22)$$

Motivated by Gupta and Shabbir (2008), we suggest a dual to variance estimator as

$$t_2 = k_1s_y^2 + k_2(S_x^2 - s_x^{*2}) \left[ 2 - \left( \frac{s_x^{*2}}{S_x^2} \right) \right] \quad (23)$$

where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are suitably chosen constants.

Expressing the estimator  $t_2$ , in terms of  $e$ 's we have

$$(t_2 - S_y^2) = (k_1 - 1)S_y^2 + k_1S_y^2e_0 + k_2gS_x^2e_1 + k_2\alpha g^2S_x^2e_1^2 \quad (24)$$

Taking expectations both sides of (24), we get the bias of the estimator  $t_2$  up to the first order of approximation, as

$$Bias(t_2) = (k_1 - 1)S_y^2 + k_2\alpha g^2 f(\lambda_{04} - 1) \quad (25)$$

Squaring both sides of (24) and taking expectations both sides, we get the MSE of the estimator  $t_2$  up to the first order of approximation, as

$$MSE(t_2) = (1 - 2k_1)S_y^4 + k_1^2M + k_2^2N + k_1k_2O \quad (26)$$

where  $M = (1 + f(\lambda_{40} - 1))S_y^2$ ,  $N = g^2 f(\lambda_{04} - 1)S_x^2$  and  $O = g(\lambda_{22} - 1)S_y^2S_x^2$

Differentiating (26) with respect to  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  partially, equating them to zero, we get optimum values of  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  respectively, as

$$\left. \begin{aligned} k_1^* &= \frac{4S_y^4 N}{4MN - O^2} \\ k_2^* &= \frac{-2S_y^4 O}{4MN - O^2} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (27)$$

Substituting these optimum values of  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  in equation (26), we get the minimum MSE of the estimator  $t_2$  given as

$$MSE(t_2)_{min} = \frac{S_y^4}{D} \left[ (D-1) - \frac{2f(\lambda_{22}-1)^2}{(\lambda_{04}-1)D} \right] \quad (28)$$

We suggest another improved estimator  $t_3$  as

$$t_3 = m_1 s_y^2 \left( \frac{s_x^{*2}}{S_x^2} \right) + m_2 (S_x^2 - s_x^{*2}) \quad (29)$$

where  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are constants chosen so as to minimise the mean squared error of the estimator  $t_3$ .

Equation (29) can be expressed in terms of  $e$ 's as

$$(t_3 - S_y^2) = [(m_1 - 1)S_y^2 + m_1 S_y^2 e_0 - m_1 g S_y^2 e_1 + m_2 g S_x^2 e_1 - m_1 g S_y^2 e_0 e_1] \quad (30)$$

Taking expectations both sides of (30), we get the bias of the estimator  $t_3$  up to the first order of approximation, as

$$Bias(t_3) = (m_1 - 1)S_y^2 - m_1 g S_y^2 f(\lambda_{22} - 1) \quad (31)$$

Squaring both sides of (30) and taking expectations both sides we get the MSE of the estimator  $t_3$  up to the first order of approximation, as

$$MSE(t_3) = [(1 - 2m_1)\sigma_y^4 + m_1^2 P + m_2^2 Q + m_1 m_2 R] \quad (32)$$

where  $P = (1 + f(\lambda_{40} - 1) + g^2 f(\lambda_{04} - 1) - g f(\lambda_{22} - 1))S_y^4$ ,  $Q = g^2 S_x^2 f(\lambda_{04} - 1)$  and  $R = 2g f\{(\lambda_{22} - 1) - g(\lambda_{04} - 1)\}$

Now, for minimising MSE of estimator  $t_3$ , differentiating (32) with respect to  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  partially, equating them to zero, we get the optimum values of  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  respectively, as

$$m_1^* = \frac{4Q\sigma_y^4}{4PQ - R^2} \quad \text{and} \quad m_2^* = \frac{-2R\sigma_y^4}{4PQ - R^2} \quad (33)$$

Substituting these optimum values of  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  in equation (32), we get the minimum MSE of the estimator  $t_3$  given as

$$MSE(t_3)_{min} = \frac{S_y^4}{D} \left[ (D-1) - \frac{2f(\lambda_{22}-1)^2}{(\lambda_{04}-1)D} \right] \quad (34)$$

Now we establish the following theorem

THEOREM 3.1 To the first degree of approximation

$$MSE(t_1)_{min} = MSE(t_2)_{min} = MSE(t_3)_{min} \geq \frac{S_y^4}{D} \left[ (D-1) - \frac{2f(\lambda_{22}-1)^2}{(\lambda_{04}-1)D} \right]$$

With equality holding

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} w_1 = w_1^* \\ w_2 = w_2^* \end{array} \right\}, \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} k_1 = k_1^* \\ k_2 = k_2^* \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} m_1 = m_1^* \\ m_2 = m_2^* \end{array} \right\}$$

respectively for the estimators  $t_1, t_2$  and  $t_3$ .

#### 4. EFFICIENCY COMPARISON

We compare the MSE of proposed estimator  $t_1$  given in (22) with the MSE of Shabbir (2006) estimator given in (13) which is similar to the usual regression estimator  $t_{reg}$ , given in (8). We will have the condition as follows:

$$MSE(t_J) - MSE(t_1)_{min} > 0 \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_y^4 Z - \frac{S_y^4}{D} \left[ Z + \frac{2f(\lambda_{22}-1)^2}{(\lambda_{04}-1)} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{D} \right) \right] &> 0 \\ S_y^4 Z \left( 1 - \frac{1}{D} \right) \left[ 1 - \frac{2f(\lambda_{22}-1)^2}{(\lambda_{04}-1)} \right] &> 0 \\ D &> \frac{2f(\lambda_{22}-1)^2}{(\lambda_{04}-1)} \end{aligned}$$

Or

$$\frac{f(\lambda_{22}-1)^2}{(\lambda_{04}-1)} - f(\lambda_{04}-1) < 1 \quad (36)$$

THEOREM 4.1 To the first degree of approximation

$$\{MSE(t_J) = MSE(t_{reg})\} > \{MSE(t_1)_{min} = MSE(t_2)_{min} = MSE(t_3)\}$$

With equality holding if,

$$\frac{f(\lambda_{22}-1)^2}{(\lambda_{04}-1)} - f(\lambda_{04}-1) < 1$$

## 5. EMPIRICAL STUDY

**Data Statistics:** For comparison, we consider the following four data sets from various sources.

**Population 1:** Cochran (1977, p. 325)

$y$ : Number of persons per block,

$x$ : Number of rooms per block.

$N = 100, n = 10, S_y^2 = 214.69, S_x^2 = 56.76, \lambda_{40} = 2.2387, \lambda_{04} = 2.2523,$   
 $\lambda_{22} = 1.5432, X = 58.8, \rho = 0.6515$

**Population 2:** Cochran (1977, p. 152)

$y$ : Number of inhabitants in 1930,

$x$ : Number of inhabitants in 1920.

$N = 196, n = 49, S_y^2 = 151558.83, S_x^2 = 10900.42, \lambda_{40} = 8.5362, \lambda_{04} = 7.3617,$   
 $\lambda_{22} = 7.8780, X = 103.18, \rho = 0.9820$

**Population 3:** Cochran (1977, p. 203)

$y$ : Actual weight of peaches on each tree,

$x$ : Eye estimate of weight of peaches on each tree.

$N = 200, n = 10, S_y^2 = 99.18, S_x^2 = 85.09, \lambda_{40} = 1.9249, \lambda_{04} = 2.5932,$   
 $\lambda_{22} = 2.1149, X = 56.9, \rho = 0.9937$

**Population 4:** Sukhatme and Sukhatme (1970, p. 185)

$y$ : Wheat acreage in 1937,

$x$ : Wheat acreage in 1936

$N = 170, n = 10, S_y^2 = 26456.89, S_x^2 = 22355.76, \lambda_{40} = 3.1842, \lambda_{04} = 2.2030,$   
 $\lambda_{22} = 2.5597, X = 265.8, \rho = 0.977$

Table 1. Relative efficiency of different estimators w.r.t.  $t_0$  in percentage

Estimator	Population 1	Population 2	Population 3	Population 4
$t_0$	100.000	100.000	100.000	100.000
$t_{Ik}$	88.188	5310.923	320.810	815.608
$t_{reg}$	123.489	7536.324	639.149	1347.978
$t_J$	123.382	7536.323	639.152	1347.981
$t_1$	134.637	7547.859	647.931	1368.535
$t_2$	134.637	7547.859	647.931	1368.535
$t_3$	134.637	7547.859	647.931	1368.535

Table 1 shows that the comparisons of estimators on the basis of four data set. Table 1 exhibits that the all the proposed estimators  $t_1, t_2$  and  $t_3$  are equally efficient but more efficient than the usual unbiased estimator, ratio and regression estimators proposed by Isaki (1983) and estimator proposed by Shabbir (2006) in the sense of having least mean square error. Thus any of the proposed estimators can be picked up preferably over others estimators considered here.

## 6. CONCLUSION

The present paper considered the problem of estimating the population variance  $S_y^2$  of study variable  $y$  using auxiliary information. We have derived the biases and mean square



errors formulae to the first degree of approximation. In efficiency comparison, it has been shown that the proposed estimators are more efficient than the other usual unbiased estimator, Isaki (1983), and Shabbir (2006) estimators. These results have been satisfied empirically with the help of four population earlier considered by Shabbir (2006).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful to the Editor-in-Chief Manuel Galea and unknown learned referees for their helpful comments that aided in improving the article.

#### REFERENCES

- Cochran, W.G., 1977. Sampling Techniques. New-York, John Wiley and Sons.
- Das, A.K., Tripathi, T. P., 1978. Use of auxiliary information in estimating the finite Population variance. *Sankhya C*. 4, 139-148.
- Gupta, S., Shabbir, J., 2008. Variance estimation in simple random sampling using Auxiliary information. *Hacetatepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*. 37(1), 57-67.
- Grover, L. K ., 2010. A correction note on improvement in variance estimation using auxiliary information. *Commun. Stat. Theo. Meth.* 39, 753-764.
- Isaki, C.T., 1983. Variance estimation using auxiliary information. *Jour. Amer. Statis. Assoc.* 78, 117-123.
- Kadilar, C., Cingi, H., 2006. Improvement in variance estimation using auxiliary information. *Hacetatepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*. 35(1), 111-115.
- Shabbir, J., 2006. A dual to variance ratio-type estimator in simple random sampling. *Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci.* 43(4), 279-283.
- Sharma, B., Tailor, R., 2010. A new ratio-cum-dual to ratio estimator of finite population Mean in simple random sampling. *Global Journal of Science Frontier Research*. 10(1), 27-31.
- Sharma, P., Singh, R., 2013. A generalized class of estimators for finite population variance in presence of measurement errors. *Jour. of Moder. Appl. Stat. Methd.* 12(2), 231-241.
- Singh, H.P., Singh, R., 2001. Improved ratio-type estimator for variance using auxiliary information. *J Indian Soc Agric Stat.* 54(3), 276-287.
- Singh, H.P., Singh, R., 2003. Estimation of variance through regression approach in two phase sampling. *Aligarh Journal of Statistics*. 23, 13-30.
- Singh, H. P., Solanki, R.S., 2012. A new procedure for variance estimation in simple random sampling using auxiliary information. *Stat. Paps.* DOI 10.1007/s00362-012-0445-2.
- Singh, H.P., Tailor, R., 2005. Estimation of finite population mean using known correlation coefficient between auxiliary characters. *Statistica*. 65(4), 407-418.
- Singh, H.P., Upadhyaya, L.N., Namjoshi, U.D., 1988. Estimation of the population standard deviation using auxiliary information. *Am. Jour. Math. Manag. Sci.* 21, 345-358.
- Singh, R., Chauhan, P., Sawan, N., Smarandache, F., 2011. Improved exponential estimator for population variance using two auxiliary variables. *Italian Jour. Of Pure and Appld. Math.* 28, 103-110.
- Singh, R., Malik, S., 2014. Improved estimator of population variance using information on auxiliary attribute in simple random sampling. *Appl. Math. Comp.* 235, 43-49.
- Srivenkataramana, T., 1980. A dual to ratio estimator in sample surveys. *Biometrika*. 67(1), 199-204.
- Sukhatme, P.V., Sukhatme, B.V., 1970. Sampling theory of surveys with applications. Asia Publishing House, New Delhi.
- Tailor, R., Sharma, B., 2009. Modified ratio-cum-product estimator of finite population

Mean using known coefficient of variation and known coefficient of kurtosis. *Statistics in Transition-new series*. 10(1), 15-24.